

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2004 LB 958

conveys no meaning whatsoever that is of any use. It's a political term. It's not a legal term. It's not a medical term. Senator Foley did mention that former Associate Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court, Harry Blackmun, who I believe has departed to the shades, which means he croaked, or to be respectful, since he was a "jurage," he has departed. He has enjoyed his home going. Senator Foley said that former Associate Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court Harry Blackmun used the term "unborn children." Congress has never used that term. Even if another state had used it, it is a religious-tinged term and there is no other way around it. If a statute is simply aiming at an accurate depiction or statement or description of a face of human development, such terms would be utilized. But Nebraska, as Nebraska has done on other occasions, has allowed itself to be dragged into the quagmire of religious views. So Senator Foley can point to any number of places in the Nebraska statutes where the term "unborn child," "unborn children" may be found, but in the same way that I have tried, when bills come before us, to amend existing law because it is either idiotic, bunglesome, or simply grammatically incorrect, I will do every time I have the opportunity the same thing with legislation, whether it's being proposed or statutes that are being amended, to remove the inappropriate terminology such as "unborn child" or "unborn children." That brings me to my first amendment. On page 1, which will go to the committee amendment, strike lines 3 through 7; in lines 9 and 10, and on page 2 in line 15 strike, "of an unborn child," and insert, "on the Separation between Church and State." What this would result in is a designation of this bill based on what it really does. The current language says, "Sections 2 to 7 of this act shall be known and may be cited as the Assault of an Unborn Child Act." That term is used, or some form of it, in other parts of the bill. That's why my amendment refers to other parts of the bill, and when I say the bill, I'm talking about the Judiciary Committee amendment. The correct designation of the bill would result: "Sections 2 to 7 of this act shall be known and may be cited as the Assault on the Separation between Church and State." This that you have before you is a religious idea, a religious notion. People are entitled to believe whatever they want to. And, by the way, a senator is free to offer for our consideration as a Legislature any thing, any idea, any notion