

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2004 LB 958

them; sometimes they do not. I believe, based on the incoherent presentations that take place on occasion, that the sheafs of paper actually are blank, but they are intimidation devices which professors often use to get the upper hand on their students. Senator Foley knows that he's not going to change my mind. I know that I'm not going to change Senator Foley's mind. All we're going to do is take the rest of the day to express our differing opinions. They are irreconcilable. There is no way Senator Foley's view can be reconciled with mine, nor that mine can be reconciled with his. We will probably today be like two ships that pass in the night, meaning we do not collide, we do not fire at each other, we simply each have a course which is taking us in opposite directions and we will each pursue our course generally and basically unmindful of the other. Since the day is going to be expended on this bill, I will take my amendments in the order they appear on the gadget. And since we have plenty of time, in fact nothing but time, I'm not going to jump from one to the other, except where it might be necessary to make a point which overlaps what I'm doing with the amendment before us. At that juncture, I may have to make reference to a succeeding amendment. I stated, when we were debating Senator Schrock's unfortunate bill just before we got on this one, that I would dub Senator Foley's bill the, quote, here we go again, unquote, bill. There have been senators who have tried to be conciliatory toward the ideas that Senator Foley has brought, and they have voted for bills that use the term "unborn child." They have voted for legislation that would equate a fetus with the woman carrying the fetus, and they thought there would be an end to it. But all they have succeeded in doing is feeding the notion that every statute that refers to a person in being or in esse, as they say in philosophy, these bills are designed to equate a fetus with such a full-fledged person. I am careful in the terminology I use when discussing this subject, but the media are not when they report my views. I have said always that I do not believe a human being exists at the point of conception. I have always said that I do not believe a human being exists until birth. For some reason, reporters say Senator Chambers said human life does not exist until birth. I don't use the term "human life." If I use it at all, it's in response to somebody else use of the term and my statement and argument that such a term is vague, so vague in fact that it