

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2004 LB 916

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: Senator Preister, AM3605.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Preister, AM3605.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Honorable President. In the interests of time, there are some comments that I want to make on that amendment, but I would ask that that one be withdrawn and refiled on Select File, and on Select File I will make my comments.

SENATOR CUDABACK: It is so ordered.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Schrock would move to amend with AM3618. (Legislative Journal pages 1555-1556.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, to open on AM3618.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is the amendment that we've agreed upon and been working on. The points on this: It assures that facilities that don't need an NPDES permit will still be subject to existing requirements under the Livestock Waste Act; the second point states that the construction approved process is the same for operations requiring an NPDES permit as an operation that does not require an NPDES permit; third point, it includes a comment period for NRDs and counties once DEQ notifies the NRD and county that a construction approval application has been filed; and the part four of it requires an operator to file updates on the nutrient management plan at least annually. So that is the essence of AM3618.

SENATOR CUDABACK: You heard the opening. Ready for discussion. Senator Raikes, AM3618.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Senator Schrock, my question is a little bit more general, I think, than this specific amendment, but what I want to know is how life will change for an existing livestock operation. You mentioned