

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2004 LB 916

made within 110 days from the date of the applicant's decision to proceed with a review of the application for construction approval. If an individual NPDES permit is required, the applicant must file at a later time a separate NPDES permit application, which will proceed on a separate track with differing time lines, according to the federal guideline. It should be noted that if any...if under any of the processes described an applicant proposes major changes to the application, the process returns to the beginning. Applications may also be returned to the beginning if the information necessary to complete the applicant's review is not submitted with the application. That concludes my opening remarks. It's probably more than you wanted to know, and I hope you understood it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schrock. You've heard the opening on AM3571. Open for discussion. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I have heard some comments from the district, my district, about LB 916 and it's impact on some expansion or proposed expansion, and I guess I would like some confirmation from Senator Schrock, if you will yield to a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, would you yield?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, I will.

SENATOR SMITH: Now, I'm trying to determine, and hopefully for the record as well, the two-mile situation on each side of a stream. Is there any consideration for expansion on the other side of the hill?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.

SENATOR SMITH: And...

SENATOR SCHROCK: If there was...

SENATOR SMITH: ...I've heard mixed messages on this. Can you elaborate?