

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2004 LR 11

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. On with discussion on the motion to return, Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Members, I rise in support of Senator Louden's amendment. As I spoke earlier, I talked to the...what I consider to be legitimate arguments against expanded gaming, and them arguments are the fact that if we maintain this two-casino concept that essentially we lose the ability henceforth to maximize keeping the money in Nebraska through our...through our horsemen. I'd like to, if I could, ask Senator Janssen to yield to a question or two.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Janssen, would you yield?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yes.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Senator Janssen, I don't know the answer to this. Other states that have gone to casino level gaming or expanded gaming, how many of them have in their constitution a limited number? I mean, how many have gone through...for example, I know Iowa did precisely what Senator Louden is attempting to undertake.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yeah. I believe they have a limited number, but, you know, whether they're constitutional or statutory limitations I really can't tell you. But I know...I think Iowa has, what, 11, I believe. Illinois had something like that also.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: It's my understanding, Senator Janssen, and I don't know this for sure, but Iowa did what Senator Louden is attempting to do, just expand gaming constitutionally without putting a number in their constitution, which gives their Legislature then the ability to do anything else statutorily.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Yes. I don't know whether there's a cap or not. I can't answer that question for you, Senator Synowiecki. I'm worried about this state, not what Iowa really does. (Laugh)