

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2004 LR 11

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Erdman. Senator Schimek, the motion to return.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I'm standing to simply respond to Senator Louden, and I'm not going to speak very long. But, Senator Louden, I understand where you're coming from. I don't have a problem with where you're coming from, but the truth of the matter is, and we've...you know, there was no way to get a wide-open amendment passed by this body. And I can't tell you how many vote counts we've taken over the...this year and last year to see if there was something that would work for 30 members, and this is where we are and this was the only thing that we could find that worked. And to have a wide-open amendment with no numbers in it could lead to some further chaos anyway down the line, but the main reason is we worked to find a formula that would work for 30 people, which is what you got to have. So I appreciate where you're coming from, but that's why we're not there. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Senator Chambers, on the motion to return.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I really have enjoyed listening to the kibitzing between the younger members of the Legislature, and in that last exchange I would score it Erdman-Rommel 1, Friend-Patton 0. Senator Friend was talking about...I don't know why he's so hung up with this George Smith Patton, but he was talking about him being so great because he said the ones who were allowed to use their minds would win. Then that would have to mean Rommel won because Patton didn't even have a mind. But anyway, I'd like to ask Senator Schimek a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schimek, would you...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schimek, is it unreasonable to think that if a proposition, whether initiated by the Legislature or one of these petition drives, wound up on the ballot and one or several casinos were put in place, is it reasonable to conclude that there might be more people who would be showing up with problems of compulsive gambling? Is that reasonable to