

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2004 LR 11

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I have a question for Senator Janssen.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Janssen, would you yield to a question from Senator Smith?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Sure.

SENATOR SMITH: Senator Janssen, you said you would support this in statute, the five-year renewal.

SENATOR JANSSEN: I said, something reasonable. I don't think five years is reasonable.

SENATOR SMITH: What's reasonable?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Ten to fifteen years.

SENATOR SMITH: Ten to fifteen years to renew. So maybe 11, maybe 12, 13, 14. Okay. Thank you, Senator Janssen. Ten to fifteen. It's a moving target. It continues to be a moving target. We have different language this round from last round. But AM3602--and again, I...no one has adopted any amendments that I've proposed, and that's fine. That's part of the process. But I offer this in good faith. I want it to come to a vote, on the renewal aspect. It's not a new idea. Other states do it. But after five years, the voters should say, was that a good decision five years ago? Because South Dakota, people I've talked to up there, they said, we wish we didn't have it. Even people in Iowa I hear say, we wish we didn't have it. And that's why I think a five-year renewal is the best way to go. I think Iowa might have a ten-year renewal. But that lets their Vegas casinos get in there for longer, lure people for a longer period of time, and prey on the people and the dollars of the Midwest to build even fancier casinos in Vegas. AM3602 is a reasonable amendment; five-year renewal. The actual amendment didn't have much debate, I will argue. Others sought to talk about other things. If we need a little steering of debate, I hope it's stated. But there hasn't been a lot of debate on AM3602. But there wasn't on the first motion either, the unprecedented move to revive the issue that was brought