

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004 LB 1017

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...comes in and empties out. It is in the nature of cash funds, I acknowledge that.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Synowiecki. Senator Landis, you may continue. Your light is next.

SENATOR LANDIS: I think I'll waive my time. It seems to me that we're...well, no, I will take one minute and then we'll go back to the business at hand. One of the things to keep in mind, that a number of the ideas that are in this bill in fact came from the contractors and that it, in fact, is something that they now currently support. I confess it's relatively complex because you have to...you have to explain two or three ways of going, but that very flexibility is what allows the contractors to respond to either their current way of being organized and their current accounting options they've exercised, because there are three, or the way that they do business. This which has been passed out is originally the bill, then the committee amendment, but it's this last system that we're talking about here. And I do think that, in fact, if we get to Select File we can make the bill a little shorter. I think there are ways to improve a couple of sections of the bill, because we've been merging them, and in fact I think we can do some better bill drafting. But I will say this; that the ideas are generally an amalgam and it does many of the things you heard. It does not require people to do their markup, it does not tax on tax, it does not require contractors and subcontractors to prepay for service labor before they're paid, and it gives contract...general contractors a chance to determine in advance whether the project is exempt or not. And in the case that it is exempt then they don't have to pass on taxes, and if it's not exempt then they know where they stand. These are all of the virtues that the contractor community wanted to secure, which is why they're in support of the bill. My guess is they'd rather have the Arnie Stuthman way out, but there's been a certain amount of realism on that part and I've got to say I thank them for that realism in our negotiations on this body of work. Thank you.