

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004

LB 1083

by the Department of Health and Human Services, thrown out last year and the person who was the director of that division was demoted to another position. And I'm not standing up to throw rocks at Health and Human Services, but I want to get in the record that there is a difference between mental health counseling and substance abuse counseling, and it's quite simple. In mental health, at least in most of the different illnesses that come under mental health, they are treated with medicine, which we call scientific leg, and counseling, both. Addictions are not treated with medicine, people. It is different. Addictions are treated, first of all, with abstinence. Whatever your addiction may be, you have to abstain. And abstinence is enforced by this three-legged stool I was talking about, that had nothing to do with insects or incest, but what we use in the recovery world to maintain abstinence or sobriety, depending on what your addiction is. And that three-legged stool is spirituality, which is some type of a program where you believe in a power greater than yourself; the other one is experiential, by working with somebody who has been through it; and three is scientific, and that's by counseling and in some cases, if you have a dual diagnosis, you would have medicine involved also. So I think in just that short little bit of time I've given you an idea that there is a difference. Where would I put substance abuse counseling under the umbrella of medicine? I would put it under mental health. I don't have any problem with that. But there's been a fear, a fear, and I being one of them, as CADACs, that we would be swallowed up by mental health and we would be forgotten, and not as individuals but by our program of how we maintain abstinence. It is significantly different how you treat addictions than how you treat most mental illnesses. This amendment that I have offered here would do exactly that--keep a separate division for CADACs and for addiction disorders. However, I intend to not carry it on, but God willing I'll be back here next year and the next year, and hopefully we will...I will keep an eye on it and if it looks like this is not happening then I would be more than glad or be forced to, under my own beliefs, to bring in a bill to see if it wouldn't be considered to do exactly what this amendment does. With that, if nobody has any questions, Mr. Clerk, I would withdraw the amendment.