

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004 LB 1065

have...we can think outside the box, that's the good quote today. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Baker, followed by Senator Bromm. Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. What was the order? Baker, then Bromm, Senator Bromm?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Baker, Senator Baker first, then Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BAKER: Okay, my only comment, I will be very brief, is that I'm willing to work to try and fund this gap between now and Select File. I would like to move the bill to Select File, and I will pledge that I'll work with people, whomever, to try and fund this gap. As Senator Wehrbein said, we met many, many times and I have lost track how many trips I made to Lincoln last summer and fall to work on LB 1065. So I'm disappointed, to say the least, but I will work on this issue between now and Select File and I would ask for its advancement. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Bromm.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. I concur with Senator Baker's objectives. I think that would be a reasonable way to approach it. I would not feel comfortable or supportive of diverting money, as Senator Beutler was alluding to, from the sales tax on cars for this purpose at this point in time. I just don't think that would be an appropriate thing to do. I think if we need more money for this purpose, we work at it. Perhaps there will be another idea on Select File. That's what Select File is for, after you flesh these things out. And I also wanted to comment in response or in reflection upon Senator Smith's observations. One of the primary reasons to make LB 1065 a major proposal was so that we could get the bill up and get it discussed and try to find a solution because there was, obviously, an impending urgency to do something. And the bill wasn't likely to get up without making it a major proposal. Also in my experience, having been here the last number of years, any time the ethanol issue is debated on the floor, it is