

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004

LB 1065

problem to the future, and it takes money from a source that is better spent someplace else. It accommodates what I think is an illegitimate perspective, from my point of view, and that is that there's something wrong with using motor vehicle fuel taxes, in this case, for ethanol, when in fact we're talking about trying to redistribute the usage of the driver and what they have in their car tank, and we are trying to "incent" the use of ethanol. And we're incenting it in many different ways. This is a direct and legitimate point. Now on that score, there's significant difference of opinion. But that difference of opinion should come down to a majority vote. And right now this body will not face up to that fact, and it ought to. We ought to do the cloture vote, it ought to be successful, then we ought to go to the Wehrbein vote and see where the majority is...

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...on that idea. And I'm a "no." Then we ought to go to LB 1065 and see if it has the support of a majority of this body. And this Legislature ought to act in that fashion to announce again the importance at this late date of the fact that in matters of simple economics, legitimacy is contained in a majority vote. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Janssen, followed by Senator Raikes and others.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature. You know, I wish that someday--and we're going to see that, maybe not in our lifetime--that we will not be dependent on oil for our power. And that, I think, has been demonstrated in, I think it's Argentina, that they use all alcohol. They use nothing...no fossil fuel whatsoever. And Senator Wehrbein, if I could ask you a couple of questions?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Wehrbein, would you yield?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.