

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004

LB 1065

a lot said about ethanol. One of my things that I really get a lot of pleasure out of--and I know this may sound funny to a lot of you--I enjoy reading my farm magazines. And I probably have a subscription to about six or seven of them. And I'll bet Arnie Stuthman does, too. And then if you belong to the Cattlemen, you belong to the Corn Growers, you get their publications. You belong to the Soybean Growers, you get the Soybean Digest. And you get magazines from John Deere, and you get magazines from Case IH, and you name it. I get a Hay & Forage magazine. I got...I get this magazine. It's called Farm Industry News. And I've handed you a copy of what the potential is for ethanol in the form of making hydrogen fuels for fuel cells. And I think if we ever get on a hydrogen fuel cell program, ethanol is going to be the leading fuel source for that. So the benefits and the potential for ethanol is just endless. And I'm excited, not only about building four plants, but hopefully many more in the future. And you know, we'll probably have to be addressing this issue next year. One thing wrong with Senator Wehrbein's amendment, it generates less money than what the Revenue Committee amendment generates. But it does get us a way down the road. And so I'm comfortable voting for the Revenue Committee amendments, but I'm also comfortable voting for Senator Wehrbein's proposal. I'm going to listen to debate to see what the rest of you say. In the meantime, take a minute and read this article. And I think it's rather interesting. The potential for ethanol is tremendous. Thank you for your time.

PRESIDENT HEINEMAN: Thank you, Senator Schrock. (Visitor introduced.) The Chair now recognizes Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I have no problems with LB 1065 as it is currently written. We don't need to make an additional compromise here. The majority that exists in support of LB 1065 needs to assert itself. I think it's time for a cloture motion and a vote on this issue. This amendment is \$10 million shy. Take a look at the figures. It's \$10 million shy. Is there a better use of LUST Fund money coming from leaky underground storage tanks? And the answer is, yes. We need it in water, we need it in the environmental area. There's a better use for this money, other than to try to make a