

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2004 LB 1065

policy projects that need to be done for this next year, and then the following years, I...well, we will actually have to come back and revisit the issue, I believe, next year, depending on how many new plants come aboard. So with that, I give the rest of my time back to the Chair, and hope that you will support the amendment. Thanks.

PRESIDENT HEINEMAN: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. The Chair recognizes Senator Louden. He waives off. The Chair recognizes Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members of the Legislature, first of all I want to commend Senator Baker and Senator Landis. They brought us a proposal out of the Revenue Committee. And I commend all members of the Revenue Committee. I thought the proposal was reasonable. It's something I could live with. It is an increase in gasoline tax and the corn checkoff. And we are one of the highest gas tax states in the nation. I don't like that. I understand Senator Chambers' concern. I can vote for the committee amendments proposal. I can vote for that. But Senator Wehrbein has talked to a few of us who are sometimes referred to as "aggies" in here. I can vote for Senator Wehrbein's proposal, although I have some concerns, and I think you should beware of the ramifications. It is taking money from the Leaking Underground Storage Tank program. And we are taking money out of the Leaking Underground Storage Tank program for the Water Task Force. However, there is \$25 million in the Leaking Underground Storage Tank bill. Now where does the money come for the Leaking Underground Storage Tank program? When you buy gasoline at the pump, there is a .9 cent tax on that gas that goes to this program. And that program addresses the problems of contaminated sites in this state. And by the way, some of those sites, although probably not many, are contaminated with MTBE. So beware of what this is doing. I think the Leaking Underground Storage Tank program can survive this hit. But if at some point in time we find out that we are taking too much from that money, then there will have to be adjustment in the gas tax, and that will probably come in front of the Natural Resources Committee. And I would support that, but I would have to be shown that it did drain program so that more funds would be needed. There's been