

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004

LB 1065

what exactly is he trying to accomplish with this amendment?
Who is to be helped by adoption of this amendment?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Cunningham, would you respond?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes, I will. Who is to be helped by this amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, the...all of the plants that are in existence right now, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now what brings proration into action under the existing law?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Being short of money.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if you do away with the proration, it means that being short of money does not mean what it means now, namely that you parcel out what's there among those who have something coming. You have to come up with the full amount owed to every one of them. Is that true?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: That would be true, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And who asked you to bring this amendment, if I might be so bold as to ask?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: I did this on my own, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Cunningham. Members of the Legislature, you're already in trouble because unwise decisions were made with reference to ethanol. This would be one of the worst ones that could be made. You may as well get rid of the rest of what Senator Landis and others who worked on the committee amendment have attempted to do, because this erases it. So I hope that there are enough clear-thinking members to vote down Senator Cunningham's amendment. Now having lambasted labor as much as I think I need to, I will turn my attention back to the floor of the Legislature. Labor has no