

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004 LB 1065

available? Maybe he's...I don't...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Cunningham, would you respond to a question?

SENATOR HARTNETT: What's...my question would be, what's the rationale for doing this, Senator Cunningham?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, well, from my standpoint, we have a contract with those plants. They've signed a contract to get these benefits. And you know, when they went to the bank and they got their financing, they...it was...this is what they were going to get. And now we're going to prorate them. And very simply, all this does is eliminate the prorating language.

SENATOR HARTNETT: You're talking about the eight plants, or the...the eight extra plants, or not? Or...

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well,...

SENATOR HARTNETT: We're just talking about the four plants?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: ...it would be anybody that qualifies under the bill.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Okay. Thank you, Senator Cunningham.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Hartnett and Senator Cunningham and Senator Baker. Senator Wehrbein, you are recognized to speak.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Mr. President, members, ...Mrs...Madam President, members of the body, I'm not going to talk specifically about the amendment, AM3554 coming up, at all, that does have some bearing. But I want to talk in general about some of the issues, to say that I'm strongly in support of ethanol, and I think it does have broad help across the state, if you will. I guess that's in contrast to Senator Chambers' comments. But it not only helps producer and the investment and