

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004 LB 1065

SENATOR BAKER: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Baker, what does this language mean that I read? It would be found on page 3 in lines 17-24. It talks about some things that would...well, it talks about when there would not be eligibility to obtain credits under this subsection.

SENATOR BAKER: You're talking about your amendment now?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, which is on page 3, lines 17-24.

SENATOR BAKER: I must have...I was just looking at it and now I don't even see it, but it refers to the total amount of gallons that a producer could qualify under. And if we take that out of there I don't think there would be any limit.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, why do we want a limit?

SENATOR BAKER: Well, we're trying to subsidize these plants but we have to also make them stand on their own two feet economically without the subsidies. We...if we removed the limit, there would be no end to our liability exposure, I guess.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do these plants respond favorably to incentives or credits?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you offer credits, they will do more. It's like the carrot, in other words. You put the carrot in front of the nose of the critter that's hungry and you keep it just far enough so the critter can't get it but close enough so the critter thinks it can, and you keep the critter moving in the direction of the carrot. Correct?

SENATOR BAKER: Correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now if a few credits will cause them to produce a goodly amount, more credits will cause them to produce a greater amount. Isn't that true?