

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004      LB 1065

this time he kind of broke out. I'd like to ask Senator Landis a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, would you yield?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Landis, you've read the language that I would strike with my amendment. Is that true?

SENATOR LANDIS: I have.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And do you see any problem with removing that language?

SENATOR LANDIS: I don't. I think it's surplusage. In fact, I think you're striking language that is obsolete. I would intend to vote green on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Landis. Members of the Legislature, I don't want to read this bill because I will find legitimate amendments to offer that you might accept. Although I will be spending time, it is not time spent the way that I want it spent. If I offer what you consider to be reasonable amendments and you adopt them, you're not going to get annoyed. You're not going to be irritated, you're not going to be emotionally wrought up and angry. I've got to get you in that frame of mind before I can make you understand what my intentions are toward this bill, so I assure you that my next amendment is not going to be that reasonable although there are things not only in the existing law but in proposals that are subject to be amended so that the bill will be improved. The only improvement that will get me off this bill is if we get rid of the tax on gasoline. I'd like to ask Senator Baker a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker, would you respond?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Baker, does this bill mean very much