

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004 LB 391

education; are not getting a higher education. If we want to encourage a greater percentage of students to enroll in postsecondary education, and believe me, our universities and colleges are going to need every student they can get because their student bodies are scheduled under current conditions to drop in numbers by 6 to 10 percent over the next few years, if we're going to encourage children to continue their education then we must do the same thing for them that we did in grade school and that we did in high school, and that is provide some base of support, some room and board, some ability to feed themselves and to shelter themselves as they continue to seek higher education. This age of majority thing is something that we like to think of in...as one neat little concept, but as you can see already from the many, many statutes that depart from the general age of majority and define in a particular area what the age of majority is, there is no one age of majority. A child is not an adult for purposes of alcohol until they're 21. A child is not an adult for purposes of casino gambling till they're 19. Sometimes it's...and it can be 17 under certain circumstances in different areas. So we have to consider apart and separately in each of these cases what makes sense in terms of the age of majority, considering the societal purpose and all of the other purposes that are legitimate to consider in our process. But I suggest to you that the basic...one of the basic...one of the basic underlying reasons for child support is to be sure the child can be adequately educated and that there is financial support for that education, and that it makes no sense in this day and age to be dropping back that commitment to support from age 19 to age 18, because at age 18 you may not even be requiring the support of that child in their junior and senior year of high school. That I suggest to you would be very bad policy indeed. And I also would be interested in what you have to say about what the future is and what we should be doing, how we should be constructing this particular area of the law to engage ourselves and to have our children engage what I perceive to be the necessity of their adult lives, and that is that as a group they be more highly educated than the previous generation, and certainly than generations before us. So that's what this amendment does. It can be structured in a number of ways. Senator Redfield suggested that maybe the judge ought to be given the authority to send the payments directly to the