

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004 LB 391

it the comprehensive study that is before us in the committee amendment?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: To the extent I can reply without getting more material here, Senator Bourne, yes, it was to begin to understand what was involved in changing the age of majority.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. So...but again, it was a comprehensive interim study that, as you indicated, implicated 90 or 92 or 97 statutes or areas of statute that refer to the age?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: That is correct.

SENATOR BOURNE: So it was a comprehensive study.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: And I'm going to be distributing a list soon of the other 40-some statutes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: So you'll have the list.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you. Senator Brashear, can you recall a time when we have...I mean, this seems to me to be a significant undertaking. Can you recall a time that we've made such a significant change in our statutes? I mean, I know we've done rewrites and overhauls of various sections of the code. But is...am I incorrect in thinking that this is a significant change as it relates...?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: It is a very significant change, Senator Bourne. And Senator Erdman I believe would support me. I've said for the three years or so that we've been dealing with this issue, it's a huge undertaking of great scope and breadth.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Senator Brashear. And as usual, I'm, you know, not criticizing your work at all, because the material or the work that comes out of the Judiciary Committee is always first-rate. But I am concerned with the implications and the things we don't even know about should this bill pass. When Senator Erdman was talking about my comments regarding a