

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004 LB 391

these two, for instance, the child support and the wards of the state issues. If you could respond to that?

SENATOR ERDMAN: Yes, Senator Schimek. And I appreciate your question. The issue of child support, obviously, is housed in the judiciary side of things. We set general guidelines; that is the default, if you will, for the child support issue. Senator Beutler has amendments on child support as well. The discussions that I've had with Senator Beutler and others is, the existing decrees that are in place will remain at 19, will remain where they are. Senator Beutler would like to see us expand that. And I have offered to him that we could have that discussion next year if we wanted to take the child support section completely out of the committee amendment, to remove that from the discussion. The concerns that have been outlined in different outlets aren't accurate, and so we want to make sure that people are aware of what decisions are going to be made. If they're not convinced, we can remove those. The child support issue could remain as it is for those in decree. As far as what we do out-years, if you will, beyond the enactment of this bill in 2005, is probably going to be up for discussion. So I'm open to the body's...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, and I appreciate the grandfathering aspect that we're talking about, but I'm not sure that changing the policy itself prospectively is the right thing to do. And I guess I would feel a little better about it if it were structured more like the aid to dependent children is, and mentions that if they're still in high school, for instance, that the aid does continue. But I don't want to belabor that. I just wanted to point out that I think there's some inconsistencies between implementation here.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And before my time runs out, could you just tell me what primary issues that you were really targeting? Or were you really going at it in the larger sense of just the age of majority?

SENATOR ERDMAN: Yeah, I think that's a fair question as well.