

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004

LB 391

with an amendment. But I wanted to give notice that I'm going to ask for that division of the question, so that those involved could begin to look at how the division should occur. I think each section should be a division. When we're talking about lottery equipment or manufacturing, the age is 19. To get such a license under this, the age would be reduced to 18. If you're going to be the resident agent for a lottery or a pickle card manufacturer which is outside the state, and you're the resident agent, the age is reduced from 19 to 18. Well actually, when we talk about 18, you're really looking at somebody who is basically 17, in my opinion. Kids still in high school. This is not the kind of amendment that ought to be adopted. And I don't believe you can get cloture on this, and I'll tell you why. Once I begin to discuss, and some of the others, what is in this amendment, I don't believe 33 people are willing, just in the interest of rushing this across the board for whatever reason, will accept all of these changes of substance in policy. Senator Brashear can say that he didn't think policies were involved in this policy decisions. But I think there is a policy decision when you're going to reduce the amount...reduce the age of a child who can receive payments if the mother or the father is the widow or the widower of a deceased officer, a deceased employee. Those are policy decisions. When you talk about massage therapy and the activity which is involved therein, we should consider seriously whether we would just reduce the age from 19 to 18 without any discussion, reduce the age for obtaining a license to do acupuncture. After you get through with Senator Erdman's amendment, there can be a division of the question. Senator Beutler has pointed out his concerns about the amendment that...

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...Senator Erdman is offering. Now tell me that is not a serious policy issue, whether even if you do it after the effective date of this bill, child support need not be paid if the child is 18 rather than 19. These are very, very weighty decisions. But since the decision was made to put the bill before us, I'm going to deal with this bill as I've dealt