

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 5, 2004 LB 391

that way. A probationer, there are various definitions which I presume relate to various parts of the statute that touch on a certain subject, and whatever that subject is, the age of majority will automatically be lowered. Health care, age will be lowered. Custodial trust accounts; filing for office; if a contract or a bond must be signed; child support payment obligations. Relinquishing a child born out of wedlock, that age is reduced. And I think that is way too important an issue to say, because somebody wants to reduce the age of majority. Now, before I go into all of that--because I have a host of amendments--I'm going to ask that we divide the question, because there are so many substantive issues dealing with substantive policy matters that they should not be handled as an amendment to a bill where the amendment will be voted for on a single vote. When this bill was discussed before the committee, people who talked to us about it said there were students at the university who, although they were there, they could not sign a lease because they were not old enough. There was some discussion of certain contracts. But nothing, nothing was whispered about much of the material that is in this committee amendment. I believe that the staff of the Judiciary Committee, under the direction of Senator Brashear, did us a service by showing how many areas in the statute deal with the age of majority, with the term "adult," with the term "minor." These things, I think, are far too serious. So I am going to request a division of the question.

PRESIDENT HEINEMAN: Chair would rule it's not timely for the division right now, Senator Chambers. Senator Erdman, Chair...your light is on next.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Members of the Legislature, if I could direct your attention back to the amendment before us, that is, the amendment that deals with child support, making sure that our statute is clear as far as the retroactiveness of this law, and that it is not retroactive in the regards of child support. That is the amendment before us. The committee amendment is voluminous. And the discussions that we have had over the past year and a half with the Judiciary Committee, all those members on that committee had access to this information. And I will fully admit that the discussion on the amendment AM3247 of the