

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2004

LB 1093

without interference. If you really believe this formula is fine, let it go, let it work, let it operate. (Laugh) Of course, we don't dare do that unless we're ready to jack sales and income tax rates up to unprecedented levels. When the plaintiffs in the state aid lawsuit filed their case, I didn't think they had a shot. Our state constitution says the state will fund education. It doesn't say how much we have to spend. It doesn't say how we have to do it. And we have the inoculation of an equalization formula to protect us. Now look at what we're doing in this biennium, again, especially if you think the formula is fine. Our equalization formula should have given K-12 more than \$200 million, additional new dollars in this biennium that we're in right now. Instead, we're cutting state aid. That's to slow growth, we're cutting state aid, fewer dollars by \$50 million in this biennium. Now, and we're also talking about pushing more of the burden back onto property tax. Some people were talking about going to \$1.07, \$1.10. We're cutting state aid, pushing more of the burden back onto property. That's why we developed our state aid formula was expressly not to do what we're doing now. My question is, how far can we deviate from this formula and still claim that we have a formula, that inoculation against lawsuits based on equalization? How far can we push the burden back on property and not cross some threshold that does make us vulnerable to a lawsuit, to a lawsuit. Remember, the other states, the more they relied on property tax, the more vulnerable they've been to legal challenges. That's why we came up with this equalization formula, but not only are we not funding it in this biennium, we're cutting state aid and we're pushing the burden back onto property. I think we're getting into dangerous territory when we do that. So if you want to do something, if you're fired up to do something, you think we should do something to address the long term fiscal health, not just of school finance but of the state budget, I'm suggesting what you should do is vote this bill down, put the levy lid back where we said we were going to put it, and let's call ourselves into what Senator Chambers alluded to on General File, a special session to accomplish long overdue reform of state aid. I'm not wild about a special session. I've already explained why. I think it has to be a special session for a couple of reasons. One, if we are going to change the school finance formula, we should do it before the