

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2004 LB 1091

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...or a former spouse being able to testify in a criminal case against the spouse or former spouse. It was a specific case that Senator Beutler had in mind that led to him bringing the statute, the bill that became statute. The courts looked at it and said it was not special legislation because it did not refer by its terms to that case and it would apply to any case that arose where this set of circumstances obtained. So I do not believe that Senator Bromm's amendment would be special legislation. There are other aspects of it that probably need to be fleshed out, however. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Further discussion, Senator Bromm.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. After reflecting on the discussion that's been had and I'd like to ask Senator Chambers if he would perhaps listen because I'm going to want to ask him a question, if I could. I think...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SPEAKER BROMM: ...one of the objections or points, perhaps, that has been made is a technical one, and that is that we're putting in two subjects into a bill. And even though generally we've been able to do that successfully a lot of times, with this subject that we're dealing with, we want to do the best we can. And so I think the wiser course of action on our parts would be perhaps for me to, if Senator Chambers withdraws his amendment which I support, would be for me to withdraw my amendment and to let LB 1091 go in a clean, pristine fashion. And between now and Monday, we will look for a home for this amendment. And one of the other requirements is that something be before the Legislature for more than seven days, and by having this discussion and having this amendment before us, this has definitely been before the Legislature for more than seven days prior to adjournment and so...or sine die, I should say, and so if Senator Chambers will accommodate and withdraw his