

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2004

LB 1091

transfers that are provided for in this bill will also become null and void. Since these fund transfers are part of what we need to do to ensure our cash flow, we will even be in a worse situation than we are. This is special legislation. This was touched on yesterday. The Speaker has already stated on the record that this judgment is the first to arise under this section of the law. I certainly hope that we will at least be intelligent enough not to waive our sovereign immunity and then open ourselves up to massive litigation. We should have learned that much. A law will constitute special legislation if the class is not absolutely closed, if there's no reasonable likelihood that the legislation will apply beyond the intended class. This amendment is aimed at one case. And there are compact issues that we will revisit in the course of this litigation. When we entered into the compact, we agreed to the following terms: Each party state has the right to rely on the good faith performance of each other party state. That's one. Two, we agree the commission will require all party states and other persons to perform their duties and obligations arising under the compact. That's two. Three, this is interesting, no party state shall pass or enforce any law or regulation which is inconsistent with the compact. Welcome back to the swamp. When the commission sued us, we used as a defense the doctrine of equitable estoppel. I don't think you want to hear what the doctrine of equitable estoppel is about, but I will tell you it's interesting that we used the doctrine of equitable estoppel in defense of ourselves and that, I suggest to you respectfully, will be applied against us in this instance. When the commission sued us, we claimed the defense of laches. That's where you wait too long to do what you otherwise should have done and you're estopped from doing it, like a statute of limitations. We might hear the doctrine of laches raised again. Finally, I believe that we cannot adopt this amendment without an appropriations bill. There is no question that we will incur legal fees as a result of this amendment. If this amendment is adopted pursuant to Rule 5, 7(e), I will make a written request to the Fiscal Analyst as to the fiscal impact of the legal defense of this act by the Legislature, because I think that's my duty as I see it. I will also suggest to you that the last time we significantly adopted or changed the Uniform Enforcement of Foreign Judgments Act, which is where this section of the