

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2004 LB 1091

Wehrbein, followed by Senator Brown, Engel, and Erdman. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members. I'd like Senator Raikes in a dialogue, if I may.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Senator Raikes, I guess I'll support you taking a million dollars from the General Fund for a good purpose. That's a little humor here. But what I'm concerned about, you say it's capped the first year. What about the second year? What if there is more demand than we have money for? How does that fit in the TEEOSA aid? Will that show up as a need if we do not have the money? What's the ramifications through this whole area?

SENATOR RAIKES: The reorganization incentive money would not be a part of the calculated needs, but you're right on your first point in that following the conversation with Senator Redfield. If, in fact, this was, this program was, widely used and there was...there were a lot of school systems qualifying for the incentives, then it is possible that in the second year you would have more than a million dollars of commitment.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: And what is your proposal, to come to the Appropriations Committee? (Laugh)

SENATOR RAIKES: That's a hard outfit to deal with. (Laughter) Actually, I think probably one way to look at it, Senator, would be in the out year of the following biennium, the 2006-2007, there would be something less than \$3 million of education lottery money that went to the General Fund. So you would be impacting the General Fund by reducing the amount of education lottery monies that transfer to that. I will tell you that...and you understand this. I'll just recite it to you so you can tell me if I'm wrong. But the impact on state...or the General Fund through TEEOSA from this kind of a program is that, to the extent you reduce General Fund operating expenses in