

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004            LB 1090, 1093

What the heck, it's just taxpayers' money. Why worry about paying interest, even the 1.5 percent or 1.68 which is what it is? So I guess, you know, planning ahead is not in the cards. And that's okay, I can go home with that. I think it just adds to our burden next year enormously. And I think it does put the schools in a terrible set of limbo out there next year because...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time. Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Janssen, on your amendment, FA1655. Senator Janssen. I'm sorry. He waives the opportunity to speak. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Wehrbein, will you respond?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein, if I am going to be that optimist, the bill that I would have to go from \$1.05 back to \$1.07 would be LB 1093.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's the bill. How would you feel about doing that? Should we start out going for \$1.10 again and then see if they're not going to go for...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I haven't got a good message on that, Senator Chambers. I think \$1.07 was closer than \$1.10 in terms of the vote count. There was 22 votes, whether some have changed their mind at this point I don't...I'm not sure. I thought I read the body as good as you do, but maybe I don't.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, my feeling is that \$1.07 would probably have the best chance. And I am going to try to run it again. And after all that we've been through yesterday and today, \$1.07 shouldn't look so preposterous or out of the question. What it will put us in a position to do when we get to LB 1093 is have all of what we're talking about today behind us. LB 1093 will