

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004

LB 1090

lots of new revenue that comes into this body. That's what I think...I think we were trying to start with the income tax and with the sales tax is deal with not the problem today but the problem in the future. And I think that's something that, you know, maybe we don't have to do it today. Maybe you're going to come back next year and the people next year are going to have to...if something doesn't change, the forecasting and so forth or something, you're going to have to raise taxes next year. So maybe that's better next year than this year. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. On with discussion, Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I'd be interested to know how many businesses would look out ahead in their business plan and show a \$300 million gap and say I guess we won't do anything about it until we get there. I'll admit there could be a magic answer and our revenue in the next 12 months could go up \$200 million. We could miss our forecasts and we could have \$200 million, \$300 million and we'd look like darn fools here raising taxes when we all know that we're going to have such a good year we're going to close that gap just with revenue. But anyway, we've tried to plan. It seems like it's prudent. If I hear a criticism consistently over the 20 years is why don't you guys plan ahead like a business. That's what the intention was for a 2-year budget, biennial budgets, and that was the intention here to look out. Obviously, we don't know what it's like. But what I'm interpreting here, because we didn't want to do the \$1.10 and, no, we don't want to do the sales tax, we don't want to do the income tax, and we don't want to do the surcharge, I'm assuming that some of you want to create such a difficult crunch next year that the Appropriations Committee will have to do extensive cuts. Now some of that...I tried to go over that yesterday, but \$800 million is salaries in the state. Another \$700-some million goes to state aid to schools. Well, you've said you don't want to cut state aid to schools but you also don't want to let them have the ability to raise that, so I don't know how you're going to resolve that. Now you can do some things. Kearney, you can close Kearney, the University of Nebraska at Kearney. And by the way, that institution, well, that institution is vulnerable. You can