

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004

LB 1090

SENATOR JANSSEN: No.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I think that's important because we keep talking about \$158 million, \$160 million, and in fact if it takes three years, it's going to be considerably more than \$160 million, as I read it. I guess my question that I wanted to ask you, given that scenario, you talk about three years to pay that at the rate that you're intending to collect it, it's not going to do it in three years.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Oh, I think it would because once this...if it would all kick in at the same time, the surcharge, which is gone, and the income tax and the sales tax would be a total of \$158 million a year.

SENATOR VRTISKA: But you're not going to take all that money in the first year. You're only going to take in whatever you collect that first year. And you're going to have to pay taxes on...you're going to have to pay interest on what's left over, if I understand anything about interest and the way it accumulates and on a huge amount of money...

SENATOR JANSSEN: Well, we've heard the \$10 million a year or whatever it is, the interest that's going to accumulate on this, you know.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Right, and it's going to take...

SENATOR JANSSEN: That's why we have to do something now.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I'm just saying that I don't think we ought to be misled to say that three years that the proposal you've got are going to pay the obligation off. It's going to take more than three years if your figures are correct, the way I look at it, because you haven't added any interest in. There's going to be interest accumulate each one of those years. Whatever the amount left over we're going to continue to pay interest on. And if we don't get the interest rate changed, we're going to be paying 10 percent. You know, I don't want to be negative, but I'm just looking at it from a practical