

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004      LB 1090

out of here just like I was. They didn't want to be bothered with it. "Slick Lawyer" Bourne was trying to talk and I was listening to him. And then he just looked around because the hubbub got so loud that as close...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...as I am to his seat, I couldn't hear. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Don Pederson.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I haven't been in on this yet and I thought that I should say something. I know it's hard to be philosophical when the alligator is about to eat you, and that's about the position that some of us are in. I think we're mixing our problems here to a certain extent. We have two distinct problems. And if you look at your green sheet, that's the one that concerns me and that says that we are about \$160 million down from our minimum reserve this next year. And then beyond that, it's another \$130 million plus \$160 million judgment for the following year. So we have two factors that we have to consider there. And I was intrigued by Senator Brashear's proposal because it would be immediate funds basically. And yet I was concerned about Senator Brashear's answer because I thought that it was a short-range answer to a long-range problem. And for that reason, I couldn't maintain much enthusiasm for it although I saw benefits in doing it that way. So now I'm getting philosophical, but it seems to me that although I have been thinking maybe I should be in favor of a combination sales and income tax, what I think is happening is that we are proposing too little too late. I looked at the figures and, according to the current proposal regarding income tax, it would bring \$51 million a year from now. And then you look at the sales tax and I'm informed that would bring \$61 million. So let's look at that. That's \$112 million and that's not going to solve our problem. And what I'm very concerned with is that we are going to deceive both ourselves and the public by thinking that we are answering a problem, thinking we are solving this by coming in