

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004 LB 1090

to continue to listen to the discussion and see where we may end up as a body. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Erdman. Senator Louden, you're recognized next.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. This is something that I think I can support quite readily. For one thing, this is something that the revenue won't show up until next year so this is looking forwards. And I think by doing something like this we can probably make some preparation for our money that has to be paid for this. By then we should know what the target area is. It isn't the complete amount, but at least we'll have something started and there's something...a game plan made, and it looks like to me it's one of the better ones that I've seen for a couple days now. So I intend to support this amendment to LB 1090. So this is the position I'd like to take, and I think by doing something like this we can probably get it accomplished. As far as any of the other part, I would like to see something done later on in the year when we find out what amount of money we're going to need. A sales tax or something like that can be implemented in a lot shorter notice than what an income tax can. So at the present time this is probably the only part of the amendment that I can support. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Louden. Senator Foley, you are next, followed by Senators Hartnett, Stuthman, and Stuhr, among others.

SENATOR FOLEY: Thank you, Madam President, members. For those of you who have watched the votes over the last several years, you have probably taken note of the fact that I have not been voting for most of the tax increase proposals that have been set before us. I've been a no vote on I think all of the major tax increase proposals the last two or three years. I might have voted for some of the amendments along the way or some minor taxes, some cigarette taxes and so forth, the alcohol taxes, but on the significant tax increases I've been consistently voting no. I see the nuclear waste dilemma really as a separate question, though. I see that as an extraordinary event. And as