

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004 LB 1090

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, then what I want to do, so that I won't get lost in the shuffle and we don't divide it, I want that request to be taken up as soon as we finish Senator Beutler's amendment. I didn't want to just raise my hand and speak before anything else was done, but next time I will.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That will be fine, Senator Chambers. Senator Smith, you are the next person on the list. You are recognized. Senator Smith waives. Senator Louden, you are recognized to speak.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Thank you, Madam President and members of the Legislature. I have listened all day. I've listened since, what, 9:00 this morning. This is probably the first thing that I've heard that I thought I was beginning to like, so when Senator Chambers said we were going to be here till midnight, I figure along about 11:30 I'll find something that I really like. I question, like Senator Cudaback has said, whether or not we overreact. I'm wondering if this needs to be done now or if this should be done in some type of a special session when we know what kind of a target we're shooting for. At the present time, we don't know what the negotiations are. We don't know how much money we are speaking of. This interest, as they talk about, in 1979 and I lived through that, I can remember that in '79 if we could borrow money for 10 percent, why, we'd all jump for it. In fact, I had a neighbor that was telling about buying something and he could get it for 12 percent interest and he thought he cut quite a deal. So times have changed and when you're borrowing money at banks nowadays, a lot of them are dropping the interest rates, depending on the prime rate. So I think when Senator Bromm says that we should introduce something to do something about that law in 1979, I think he's correct on that. That should be taken care of. That's something that was done years ago and it can be brought up to date. We won't be out anything if we do change it. If the litigation takes us to task over it, what will you do? You're right back to 10 percent, so we're right back where we were. There's nothing to be lost by changing that statute. I think that's a very good idea, if it's possible to change anything around here today. But at the present time, I think as far as raising this money, I