

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004 LB 1090

\$150 million issue. I think the people would support us to come back here and deal with this if we had to. I don't think we'll have to. Wouldn't they? Think about your constituents. And listen to me. They're sure listening here, aren't they, Senator Cunningham? You know, even you're listening. But anyway, would the people support us? Senator Erdman might be. Would they support us to come back here and deal with this \$150 million issue? They'd say, get your tails down there and do that. You know, they wouldn't be...you know, absolutely, if we have to do it. That's my opinion for what it's worth. I mean I'm not a rocket scientist here. You know, I'm a simple old...I won't say a bar room piano player because that don't sound good, but, you know, it's just that simple to me. Don't overreact. Don't overreact. You say in order of people to get something, you got to say it 14 times. I won't say it 14 times, but please don't overreact. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Chambers, you are recognized to speak.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, I want to divide the question.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Chambers, did you have something in mind as far as the division is concerned?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, since there's no objection, apparently, to my doing it my way, I would divide it into five parts. Each section would be a division.

CLERK: Senator, if I may, we're on the Beutler amendment, and I don't see five parts to the Beutler amendment so that's...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I want to divide the underlying amendment. So you want to take Senator Beutler's first?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Chambers, it is felt that the Beutler amendment is the amendment being considered right now and you would have to wait until the Beutler amendment was considered and disposed of before you actually could divide the underlying amendment.