

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004 LB 1090

Those programs would end with the current biennium so that in the out biennium the school levy lid would drop to \$1.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In other words, that is like a tax decrease.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes. We would be lowering the school property tax levy lid when all reasonable projections suggest that it should be going the other way.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, Senator Raikes, what I will be doing if I undertake to kill that bill is to give my colleagues a second chance to lower the property tax. They rejected the opportunity to lower the sales tax earlier. You remember that? I wanted to reduce it to 4 percent and they rejected that out of hand? So now I'm trying to reduce property tax. Do you think the public would be opposed to what I'm trying to do? You think they would say Chambers is wrong, we want those property taxes where they are and we want this higher rate extended on out into the future? Is that what they want? Or given a choice, would they say drop that dollar and a nickel down to one dollar? Which do you think the public would say?

SENATOR RAIKES: To answer that, Senator, I would say that I think most of the public would rather pay lower property taxes but they are also interested in a state budget that balances.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SENATOR RAIKES: That was an attempt to befuddle, by the way.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And you clarified everything and that's why I wanted people to know that "Befuddler" label does not fit until I decide it's appropriate to apply it. I'd like to ask Senator Dwite Pedersen a question.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Pedersen, would you respond to a question?

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Dwite Pedersen, you said you're not