

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004

LB 1090

make that same mistake again. So you pick yourself up, you dust yourself off, you start all over again. When I suffer a setback as I did on the last amendment, that in no way disheartens or discourages me. I'm giving us a chance to take another crack at Senator Brashear's amendment. Even if he's ready to throw in the towel, I am not. When a matter comes before us on the floor of the Legislature, the one who offered it is not free under all circumstances to say, I'm tired. I didn't expect it to go on this long. The chance we take when we offer something is that it runs into what Senator Brashear is experiencing this afternoon. So my amendment, not being difficult to understand, is not going to require much discussion because we talked about it a little bit last time. Some of my colleagues have said we're going nowhere. Others of my colleagues said we're doing nothing. But I would say that if we're doing nothing, we're doing it proud. If we're going nowhere, we're going there proud. But we are doing some things that are of value from my perspective. I can see people begin to be worn down. There's a difference between being worn down and worn out. You can look at your own shoes, Senator Loudon, not yours necessarily, but a heel of a shoe can be worn on the outside back corner. That's being worn down. But when you continue to wear it to the point where there are holes in the top of the shoe, that shoe is worn out. You all are kind of worn down. You're walking a little at an angle or as Chester said to Matt Dillon, sideways like a crawfish struck by lightning. That's kind of the way you're moving now, but you are not worn out. And we're going to spend some time together. You all wanted to discuss Senator Brashear's slimmed down amendment so we're going to discuss it. You all are the reason it's here. You gave Senator Brashear reason to believe that if he trimmed his amendment down, you might look with favor on it. Well, since it's here, I want to see that we discuss it a longer time. It takes me longer to grasp the significance of some things. Young Senator Erdman was raising some technical questions of value. Some people didn't even know he spoke. There are things in the existing law into which Senator Brashear's amendment will be plugged that are worthy of questions. One thing that Senator Baker talked about was the spirit of Senator Warner looming over the Chamber because some people wanted to change the name of the Transportation Committee. Former Senator Doug Kristensen spiked