

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004

LB 1090

it might apply, or whether it might apply to a case that is ongoing that hasn't reached the final determination, and they talk about Judgment Fund and judgment day, and Senator Brashear was going to be a preacher before he went into politics, it made me think of a verse. It is appointed unto man once to die--that means you go to court. And after that the judgment, which is what happens to whomever loses. So see the devil is being fulfilled here this afternoon, and I think you all ought to feel that you're engaging in a religious experience, thanks to my motivation. I'm giving you more than the preacher does every morning when you come in. You can't even say anything in response to him, but I give you the opportunity and I assure you, brothers and sisters, we're not through with this bill yet. We're not through this afternoon. This is the day when we go a long time. My good, new young friend, Senator Friend, wants to be here when the clock on the wall says 11:59 p.m. I've talked about going till midnight, we never even come close. This might be the day. Tonight is the night. Everything is all right. Well that's...I won't sing it, I just said it. But there's a guy with a gravelly voice, and that's what he does say. Here's what I would like to comment in terms of what Senators Bromm and Brashear have been chewing on. By the way, my amendment is to strike the word "substantially." That's what we've been talking about, and we've had a very substantial and substantive argument over whether or not the word "substantially" should be stricken. We don't need that in the statute because if we're coming down to various funds, sharing in some money, and we're down to pennies, the court is going to use its reason and we need not put "substantially" before the word "equal." They get an equal share. And if everybody is so upset because let's say there are three funds and you've got one odd penny. Well, I'm sure the people who are arguing will go in their pocket and put two more pennies in it so that then each one can get another penny, and that will take care of the problem. Strike "substantially." Let the amount of money be equal. Let that be what we state, then we don't have litigation over what the term "substantially" means. Does that mean if there are millions of dollars at stake, one fund can get a thousand dollars more than another? But because that's such a small percentage of the total amount, it's not a substantial amount. Just say equal, that's what I'm asking for, and I'm not going to go into what my colleagues were