

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004

LB 1090

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...relative to what I'm doing?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, I will, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, if I am successful in removing the word "substantially," we would then say that an amount equal to the money deposited in other funds. What would be your objection to striking "substantially," if you have an objection?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: The only objection, Senator Chambers, would be that if you strike "substantially," then...and we simply have equal, as you have recited it, then it would have to be a precise calculation, I mean, literally to the penny.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, what...can that be done?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Prospectively, no; at the moment of actuality, or retrospectively, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, we can't say that prospectively it cannot be done, can we, because prospectively means we're moving into the future? Would you agree with that? We're looking at something in the future? Would you agree with that, if we use the term "prospective"?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I agree with that. And Senator Chambers, if I may?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: This is your time. I'll just make the...(laugh) probably without basis in fact or law, I'll just make the decision, since this is intent language, that if I were to lay down and acquiesce in your amendment, would this be the end of your opposition to AM3477, Senator Chambers, if we give you this great first victory?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When I was a small child, there was a store called Brandeis on 16th Street. And they used to have what we