

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004 LB 1090

to be my preference. Secondly, I think the electric surcharge with a cap...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...is the second-best idea, because I think it has no border bleeding problems, and it is on a...it's essentially a sales tax on an item that is low-cost. My guess is, you're not going to do either one of those. My guess is, we're going to have a dog fight between income tax and sales tax folks, and we're going to wind up with a piecemeal piece of the two. And I can live with that as well. (Laugh) I'm just pretty malleable, aren't I? The point is that we're probably going to overlook some relatively creative ideas and some relatively valuable ideas, because we do have a cliched way of looking at revenue--sales and income tax. Nothing wrong with that, nothing wrong with that. But there are other options, and I think they hold opportunity at this very moment. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Mr. Clerk, a motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend Senator Brashear's amendment. (FA1648, Legislative Journal page 1426.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, to open on your amendment to AM3477 to LB 1090. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, before I...rather than speak on that right now, what I want to rise for--but I had to have my light on--I want to divide the question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Where did you wish to divide it at, Senator Chambers?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I would like to consult with Senator Brashear, because I do see some matters that I think are discrete. But I think we should work it together, to be sure that some things that might be interrelated are not divided.