

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2004            LB 1090

we should be doing; that we maybe should be giving ourselves a little bit of wiggle room that perhaps even if the judgment does come down, it won't be for the same amount of dollars or there can be some negotiating. Senator Brashear didn't seem to think so, but I'm not sure I quite buy on to that aspect of his argument. So when we're talking in terms of dollars here, I think we should just be talking about trying to raise a certain amount to meet contingencies, whether they be the shortfall of \$104 million or whether it be some settlement that we need to address. I've been thinking last night and this morning, Senator Chambers, about what we can possibly do to get to the point where we are raising some additional funds. And I, you know, my e-mail has been, don't raise taxes, or do it through income tax, or do it through sales tax. Those have been the kinds of options that at least the people that are writing me are talking about. I don't think that the bonding or the electrical charges, surcharges, are on their agendas at all. I don't think they would like either of those options. And there seems to be a pretty hardened approach on the part of both the income tax supporters and the sales tax supporters, but on the other hand, I think that may be the only way that we can bring any kind of a compromise to bear. Now you've got an amendment up there which I can scarcely believe that you are serious about, because if you were serious about it, you would be talking about how you would replace the \$400 million that you are getting rid of. You would do it through income tax, Senator Chambers, but I don't see that in your proposal. I'm wondering if the only way that we can find some accord here is to do a combination of both things, and I'm just putting that out on the table. Yesterday I said no to sales tax, no to the electrical surcharge, no to the bonding, no to everything except income tax. But yet you have to confront the reality that there...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...probably aren't enough votes to do that. If we would do a combination half sales, half income, I think that we could...we're talking about \$150 million a year, and that would go a long ways towards meeting the goal of being able to address unexpected events. So with that, Mr. Chairman, I would at least say that I'm willing to look at it anyway. Thank