

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2004      LB 1090

money given by individuals a portion of their net income, of the income, the profit that they have made. It isn't a tax on their necessities. It isn't a tax on the sales tax. It isn't a burden on their property tax which, you know, really becomes a burden. And this, I think, would be a workable solution, but this remains to be seen. And they're going to pass these out right now and if you have any questions, I would like to try to answer them. If you have any concerns, please let me know. And I'll return the balance of my time to the Chair.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you, Senator Stuthman. Senator McDonald, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR McDONALD: Mr. President and members of the body, I have not stood up and talked about any of the revenue bills that we're looking at. We've come a long ways in the last couple of years. We have raised our sales tax. First we did it temporarily, then we did it permanent. We also expanded the sales tax to include services. We have raised the income tax a small amount. We have also done some shifting of taxes to our property tax owners. So we have utilized all three options that are basically out there. And when Senator Cudaback talks about some new ideas, I think we even have those in the works, and we can thank Senator Brashear for that. Not to say that that idea probably doesn't have...has merit, but I don't think we're ready for that yet. I think we certainly do need some more research on that and to look at that in the future. But I think at this point in time, that is probably not an option either,...

SENATOR KREMER: One minute.

SENATOR McDONALD: ...so we have only the three options, and that's sales tax, income tax or a shift to property tax. And I think that the thing that maybe shouldn't have been done was to lower the income tax, because if we had maintained it the same, we probably, and hopefully we wouldn't be looking at this problem. But we did. We didn't need the money and had hoped at that point in time we weren't going to need the money, so we lowered the income tax. Now we're just looking back to reinstate that. So as far as I'm concerned, just to reinstate that shouldn't be a problem. It's the only thing that we