

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2004 LB 1090

want to confuse you but you're getting down to a very small chunk that I think 80 percent of us would agree we don't want to cut. So if you're going to cut...

SENATOR KREMER: Time.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Stuthman, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I haven't had the opportunity to speak. I think I pushed my light quite a number of minutes or hours ago. And I wanted to talk a little bit about the Highway Trust Fund and the bonding portion of that. But since that's off the table, I don't think I'm going to take any time on that. The thing that I wanted to discuss a little bit is the income tax portion of it. And I had my staff get some information on this and I've had... a page will get copies of this pass it out amongst you. On this paper, that will have the current tax structure that we have and the proposed Hartnett amendment. On this, it has the increase of what it would be, and realistically it doesn't amount to a lot of dollars per individual, and it would be only just an increase in your taxes, not, you know, that they're going to take all the income that you've earned, all the profit that you've done. But on the lower end, there would be no increase because you don't pay any taxes. You can't increase zero. On the next level, it would be about \$3.50. To the next level, it would be about \$33 per individual. And then the top level of the \$26,500, that dollar amount would come to about 50-some dollars additional, plus a factor that leads into the amount of dollars that would be over the \$26,000. So I think when that does get passed out, you people can go over that. I think I've discussed it with several of the other ones. Hopefully, my figures are correct and you can go over it. If they're not correct, I need to be corrected on it so that, hopefully, I can get my information right. I think this is an amendment that would be workable, the way I understand it from Senator Hartnett, that it will raise about \$50 million over a year or \$100 million over the two-year period. And this is just