

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2004 LB 1090

\$13 million that they had saved in their healthcare expenses and given back that they had not spent before. The items that that was saved on were things like negotiated savings in contracting with hospitals for hospitalizations, formularies for medications, contracted with physicians, limiting numbers of visits, and so forth, the kind of things we've been living with in private healthcare for quite a long time. So rather than give someone an award or give them a cookie for that, I think that's just business as usual. That's being a good steward of the state's money. And for them to then be given that \$13 million back again this year, I still have a bit of a problem. And I'm not on Appropriations, I did not hear Corrections testify, but there are 66 divisions on here that had 0 percent. And there's 15 you could take right off, that's the top ones which are the salaries. But...and I know there are some that cannot sustain a reduction, but it's hard for me to believe that out of 66 of these listed things, that no one could sustain even a .25 percent cut. Where I work, we have a 10 percent cost reduction project every year that our department is expected to do, and we keep digging until we find it. It's very hard for me to believe that even in administration of some of these programs, that you couldn't even come up with a 1 or 2 percent decrease in expenditures. Our back is against the wall where I work as far as our department goes. We're going to be hard pressed to cut, but...and again, I'm not on Appropriations, I'll probably have my turn one of these days if I get to be around here long enough. But just from a purely numerical, mathematical standpoint, it's hard for me to believe that 66 divisions or 51 ledger numbers that are not salaries could not withstand some sort of a percentage reduction, because we're talking multimillions here that are listed as the total appropriations for these ledger items here. That said, I will weigh in on the income tax and sales tax debate. Senator Chambers has made it very clear the "cobra" will not relent unless we do give him some income taxes. I'm willing to give a little on income tax as long as perhaps we could see a little increase in sales tax. And what I mean by an increase, I don't know if it's possible, I've always been an advocate of what Senator Redfield proposed last year which was a lowering of the rate and an expansion of the base. This cafeteria pick-and-choose kind of thing has been very troublesome. It was