

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2004 LB 1090

problem. We are constantly talking on this floor about solving this little piece or that little piece. I know of no other plan that addresses all the problems before us on the worksheet today.

SENATOR BOURNE: I agree with that assessment. We constantly nibble around the edges and never really solve the entire problem, so I do agree with that. When I talked to Senator Brashear earlier, I was under the impression that this solved just the judgment. And while he seems to be going a little further than that now, and I don't know if we want to go that far or not, I will support something that is used limited strictly toward satisfying a judgment should that occasion arise. If you look at our status sheet, and I know we've had a lot of people say that we need to do something this year, but in talking to members of the Appropriations Committee and looking at the green sheet, I don't think there is any danger of us being cash short this year. Senator Wehrbein, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Wehrbein, will you respond?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Senator Wehrbein, is that an accurate statement, that we won't have a cash flow problem should we adjourn this session without raising any revenue whatsoever?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, under any kind of...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...barring catastrophic event, Senator Bourne, I think that we can make it through this next year.

SENATOR BOURNE: So really the only reason that we would raise revenue this year is to, one, either prepare ourselves for the several hundred million dollar deficit that we see in out years or, two, to prepare ourselves for the potential of satisfying the judgment?