

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2004 LB 1090

had a note in from the lobby a little while ago that said they weren't certain that the Power Board actually had the expertise and the staffing to handle the rules and regs, the plan, if you will, putting the plan together and implementing it. And I don't have any details on that, and why they feel that way. But I guess I would throw the question to you, if you've had any exploration with the power districts about that particular aspect of this amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I have not. And it would be a new function for them, so they would have gear up and dedicate themselves to another piece of work.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Would they not have to have a great deal of help from the Department of Revenue regarding this? Or not?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I think the Department of Revenue would be an excellent advisor on this sort of thing.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And if the body were interested in this solution, it's also quite possible that we could go back and restructure it procedurally so that it was handled exactly like a sales tax. And we could involve the Department of Revenue in low-income...drafting low-income programs. That can be accomplished if that's what the body wishes. So if your problem is procedural on the issue, you should not vote against it, because that can be facilitated. But...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, and my problem in the first instance has to do with the difficulty for low-income people. And I'm not certain whether this answers all my questions or not. But you have gone quite a ways in your amendment. The other thing I wanted to ask you about is, the amendment itself does not have any sunset provision, it just says that if and when the time comes, the Legislature would sunset this provision. Is that right?

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, I think it has a three-year...