

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004      LB 1089

SENATOR SMITH:      Not in its current form. I mean, I would say it's similar to an issue a couple of years ago with the crime victim's reparation fund, that basically all the state funds were gutted from...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN:    But not Accountability and Disclosure.

SENATOR SMITH:      I'm sorry?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN:    Not in Accountability and Disclosure arena, though. Right?

SENATOR SMITH:      Well, we had...we had bills relating to that, and one of them made it to the floor and we discussed that.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN:    Okay. But this, what you're doing here per se, has not had a hearing, or is not a bill.

SENATOR SMITH:      Not in the strictest of every sense that we oftentimes operate in here,...

SENATOR WEHRBEIN:    Okay. I...

SENATOR SMITH:      ...but certainly in a general sense.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN:    I just wondered. I would oppose it. I think this is a policy shift that has ramifications beyond this immediate. If it is to come before us, it probably should come before us in a clean-cut manner and stand on its own accord, and we do that in a different format. So I would oppose the amendment at this point.

SENATOR HARTNETT:    Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Smith, you're next.

SENATOR SMITH:      Thank you, Mr. President and members. There has been some embellishing going on saying that this would wipe out the fund. No, it doesn't. In fact, it still leaves more than enough dollars to fund a campaign similar to that of Lorelee Byrd's. You all recall that that campaign received some funds