

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004 LB 1089

earning \$100,000 and more, or in the range of \$5,000 to \$20,000, because in fact we saw that that was where one of our biggest job losses was. Well, if I had the confidence that we could come up with \$159 million, I wouldn't be offering this amendment. But Senator Bourne is absolutely right, that's where we are going to end up at the end of next year, \$159 million in the hole to start the next budget cycle with, and I don't know where we're going to get it. And, yes, Senator Brown is right, it has to be a systemic approach, but I've been waiting for years to see that kind of systemic approach and it hasn't occurred. And so we are faced year by year with paring down agencies and, yes, it does hurt. How else are we going to solve it? We've tried tax increases for two years and that didn't solve it, and we're back here again this year, looking to solve it with another tax increase, at least a la the levy limit. I will draw your attention to the handout on the university, and that's why I gave it to you, because in fact when you look at the cut that is proposed in this amendment, it is actually 15 hundredths of a percent of their budget, 15 hundredths of a percent of their budget. The university's budget is half the size of our General Fund, so it's a big organization. So, yes, it is a large number in comparison to the other cuts, but I will assure you that it is...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR REDFIELD: ...the same percentage as we are looking at with the Library Commission. It is not a deeper cut. It is just looking bigger because of the size of their budget. I will yield the rest of my time to Senator Wehrbein. There isn't much. But, Senator Wehrbein, I appreciate very much your going through these things line by line, and so I would yield the remainder of my time to you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Have about 35 seconds, Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you, Senator Redfield. I appreciate that. I will probably do one more line. I will finish out on Department of Revenue. Anyway, we have tried...we actually added back a little bit in Revenue to try to get, I think it was, two positions that were not filled last year, the money for