

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004 LB 1089

I think somewhat damaging. The Revenue Committee...the Revenue Department is one where Senator Kruse mentioned about the return on investment, if you will, by having auditors. And we have insisted that they hire auditors even if they are...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Redfield, on your amendment to LB 1089.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. First of all, I want to thank everyone for their participation in the discussion. I think that this is absolutely essential. The Appropriations Committee has a great deal of time to come up to speed on the budget, but those of us on the floor do not. And in fact, Senator Pederson offered the suggestion that we should honor the work of the Appropriations Committee, and in fact I did and voted for the \$1.10 property tax lid. That was not easy to do, but I was honoring their work. When this proposal came to us on the floor, that was part of the proposal. It failed. And when it failed, that meant we have to go back to the drawing board and we have to look at how we're going to find the money. And the real question is, as I'm hearing discussion, I'm hearing people say, well, this is too little. Well, yes, it is little. In the whole scheme of things, it is little and yet, to most of the people in Nebraska, they would work their entire lifetime and contribute their taxes and never pay this portion. How many people would it take to pay taxes for their lifetime to pay \$4.9 million? A whole lot of people. And in fact we are losing people every year. Senator Brashear talked about it in an anecdotal way, but I actually pulled up the revenue web site and the data on there only has tax year 2000, tax year 2001, and over that year we actually lost 2,100 filers, 2,100 income tax filers in one year. That's not an anecdote. That's a fact. Where are we losing them? Well, in fact, we lost half of them in people who are earning over \$200,000 a year. Now we could be highly principled and say they shouldn't leave, but the fact is they are. The losses that we saw in our filers only occurred from those