

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004 LB 868

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now if you're willing to say explicitly that if there is damage to a child as a result of the negligence of the person, then I'm willing to go along with putting in a negligence standard.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: We are not in a medical setting where it is a medical operation that we're talking about in its entirety.

SENATOR RAIKES: So if I interpret what you're saying, or understand what you're saying, if there...if it is a medical setting, then it's appropriate for there to be a lower standard and...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, what I'm saying is that the person could recover from the facility if the standard is not met and the facility is going to ride herd on the people who are allowed to be there.

SENATOR RAIKES: But doesn't your read of this suggest that it's only the individual that would be protected from liability, not the institution, not the school, as this amendment is drafted?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You mean the statute that I'm looking at or your proposed amendment?

SENATOR RAIKES: No, I'm talking about my proposal here.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, because it is coming to us at this point, I want to put in your amendment the clear statement that the employer...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...of this person remains liable.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Redfield,