

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004 LB 868

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, I know that we're flying by the seat of our britches, so to speak. Are you aware of what this training consists of that the teacher or other nonmedical person will be given?

SENATOR RAIKES: Not in any detail, Senator, I'm not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Where would the injection be given? What portion of the anatomy would be injected?

SENATOR RAIKES: My understanding, Senator, and it's a very incomplete understanding, is basically it's either subcutaneous or intramuscular, so most anywhere on the body.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So intramuscular means into the muscle.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could there be a nerve in the vicinity where an injection is given, since it could be given virtually anywhere on the body?

SENATOR RAIKES: With my understanding of anatomy, I would say yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could a blood vessel be near?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So a person, in failing to exercise due care, could stick a needle in a nerve that could cause whatever damage may result, and that person would not be liable. Isn't that true? Because with simple negligence or the failure to exercise due care, there is no liability. Is that the standard we want?

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, as I understand your discussion of willful and wanton versus I think you said the higher standard would be gross negligence, is that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, Senator Brashear said that but I disagree. I think willful and wanton goes beyond any degree of