

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2004 LB 888

SENATOR FRIEND: Correct, Senator, the 1983 Model Energy Code.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, and your bill removes that blanket exemption.

SENATOR FRIEND: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That would make blighted...that would make all areas subject to the energy code that we're discussing in LB 888.

SENATOR FRIEND: Correct. Would you like me to elab...would you like me to elaborate more on...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Before you do, there is language which says that a local entity may have its own code so long as it equals or surpasses what is required under the state code. That's what your bill would say, right?

SENATOR FRIEND: In a...Senator, in a way, because a lot of the argument and a lot of the communication that we had with stakeholders, if you will, I guess that's what we call them, is that they are adhere...I mean, they looked at the 2003 IECC and they said we're adhering to that already. The problem is procedurally and systematically dealing with some of the things that the jurisdictions have to deal with in order to show that they've complied with all of these rules and regulations. The process is going to be different. That's the point. And so, yes. The answer is yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But here's...here's the question. Okay, the locality cannot put in place a lower standard than what is required under state law.

SENATOR FRIEND: No, because the local...but what the locality can do and what the local jurisdiction can do is...let me give you...can I give you a quick example?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Sure.

SENATOR FRIEND: If there is someone in Sidney that says, look,